Attorney Docket Number: FSP0291 Application Number: 09/759,935

-8-

## REMARKS

In an office action mailed on 09/09/2008, claims 22-41 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Son, US Pat. #6697376 in view of Ritchie, US Pat #5790523. The Examiner repeats the earlier rejection, finding the Applicant's argument unpersuasive. Claims 22-31, 34-41 are also rejected as unpatentable over Son in view of Dodson, US Pat # 6,873,622. After further consideration, the Applicant still believes his position to be sound, and thus traverses the rejection again, in more detail. In the interests of furthering prosecution, the Applicant has amended the independent claims in order to further clarify the distinguishing features.

The Applicant first wishes to thank the Examiner for his reasoned and professional examination of the present application. The Applicant will now traverse the rejection.

## Son in View of Ritchie Does Not Teach The Headend of Claim 22

Claim 22 recites, inter alia, a headend that extracts a group identifier from a VOD request, and which enables one or more modulators associated with the group identifier to pass the video on demand data downstream. These features are entirely lacking from either reference.

The recent Supreme Court case of <u>KSR</u> 127 S. Ct 1742 has set forth some guidelines for when obviousness may be found from combined references. As a threshold matter, the references must teach all significant aspects of the claims. Regarding claim 22, they clearly do not. It is simply not possible to combine Son and Ritchie and obtain the claimed headend features. Son teaches that a group identifier (a Logical Node id) is transmitted downstream from the headend and later sent back from the terminal, not in a VOD request, but at some other, entirely unrelated time. The headend uses the Logical Node ID to build a configuration database; it doesn't extract the group identifier from a VOD request, and it doesn't enable modulators associated with the group identifier to pass the VOD data downstream.

Attorney Docket Number: FSP0291 Application Number: 09/759,935

-9-

Ritchie does not cure the features lacking in Son. Ritchie teaches a customer PAGE 14/14 \* RCVD AT 12/29/2008 7:35:01 PM [Eastern Standard Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-4/19 \* DNIS:2738300 \* CSID:13602946426 \* DURATION (mm-ss):30-02